

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,394	09/24/2003	Karlheinz Bartzke	GK-ZEI-3217 / 3961 500343.2022	
75	90 12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
Gerald H. Kiel, Esq.			DINH, JACK	
REED SMITH				
599 Lexington Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10022-7650			2873	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\alpha$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	3/
	10/669,394	BARTZKE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jack Dinh	2873	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed hthe mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u></li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under B</li> </ol>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.	Loha Ben Primary Examiner	<u></u>
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 2003.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicat Inity documents have been receiv In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0204 & 1004	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: <u>DETAILED</u>	oate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-3 and 8-16 in the reply filed on 11/07/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8, 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 8, the phrase "first levers" and "the path of the levers" render the claim indefinite. It is unclear of the quantity of levers are in the "first levers". In addition, "the path of the levers" lacks antecedent basis. Therefore, it is unclear of the configuration being claimed.

Regarding claim 11, the term "preferably" renders the claim indefinite. It is unclear whether the limitations after the term are claimed. In addition, it is unclear of the quantity of the Art Unit: 2873

"plates" and the number of foils attach to each plate. Therefore, it is unclear of the configuration being claimed.

Regarding claim 12, "the stepping motor drive" lacks antecedent basis.

Regarding claim 14, "pair of foils" lacks antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 9, 10 and 12-15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Hanada (US Patent 5,400,170).

Regarding claim 1, Hanada (figure 3) is interpreted as disclosing an adjustable pinhole for the illumination beam path of a laser scanning microscope, comprising that the pinhole 2 is defined by foil edges which are adjustable relative to one another.

Regarding claim 2, Hanada (figure 3) is interpreted as further disclosing that wherein at least two foils 1, each with at least one straight edge 2, are arranged relative to one another and/or connected to one another in such a way that their edges describe an L-shape and the L-

Art Unit: 2873

shaped connection pieces are arranged on one another in such a way that they define a rhombic or square light passage and are moved relative to one another for adjusting the pinhole (col. 4, lines 1-30).

Regarding claim 3, Hanada (figure 3) is interpreted as further disclosing that the movement direction is the direction of the bisecting line of the angle defined by the L-shape or of another angle lying within the defined angle.

Regarding claim 9, Hanada (figure 2) is interpreted as disclosing that the foils have solidstate joints (see reference 7 of figure 2).

Regarding claim 10, Hanada (figure 3) is interpreted as further disclosing that the solidstate joints which are driven by motor 5 are provided for adjusting the foil edges.

Regarding claim 12, Hanada is interpreted as further disclosing that wherein a referencing of the stepping motor drive is carried out by means of a path measuring system and/or an optical detector for detecting the amount of light passing through the pinhole (col. 2, lines 48-67).

Regarding claim 13, Hanada (figure 2) is interpreted as further disclosing that the pinhole can be closed in such a way that the foils overlap.

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 14, Hanada (figure 2) is interpreted as further disclosing that the foils has an offset to prevent collision.

Regarding claim 15, the process for manufacturing an adjustable pinhole comprising the step of producing foil edges defining the pinhole by cutting the foil material in a straight line individually or in composite on at least one side would be inherent from Hanada's figure 2.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanada (US Patent 5,400,170), as applied to claim 15, in view of Bell et al. (US Patent 5,105,582).

Regarding claim 16, Hanada is interpreted as disclosing all the claimed limitations as described above except that the foil edges are treated, preferably by grinding, subsequent to cutting. Within the same field of endeavor, Bell is interpreted as disclosing the teaching that sharpening can be accomplished by grinding a cutting surface to form sharp edges (col. 3, lines 1-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to grind the cut edges for the purpose of sharpening the edges and improving accuracy.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,394

Art Unit: 2873

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jack Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-2327. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack Dinh

Loha Ben Dimen, Examiner

12/12/05

Page 6